

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/470,697	12/23/1999	WALID NAJIB ABOUL-HOSN	AMED056	6483	
7590 09/21/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Daniel D. Ryan RYAN, KROMHOLZ & MAION, S.C.			BIANCO, PATRICIA		
P.O. Box 26618	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Milwaukee, WI 53226			3762		
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		10			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/470,697	ABOUL-HOSN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Patricia M Bianco	3762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M	<u>ay 2004</u> .				
,—	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1,6,8-11 and 17</u> is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6,8-11 and 17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers		,			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>		)-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No			
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>	*	ed in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.			
Attachment(s)					
) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal I	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/2(1/04	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6, 8-11 & 17 are have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 6, 8-11 & 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aboul-Hosn (6,123,725). Aboul-Hosn discloses an outer cannula (30) having a preformed curve at its distal portion, an inner cannula (20) that is slidable within the outer cannula, the inner cannula will be inherently directed by the curved portion past the curve and out of the outer tube beyond the distal end of the outer cannula. Each

Art Unit: 3762

cannula defining a lumen thereby providing a plurality of fluid flow paths for blood, and having a port (91) defined between. The limitation of claim 6 that the proximal ends are "adapted" and configured to be coupled to a pump is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchinson*, 69 USPQ 138. However, the device of Aboul-Hosn, as disclosed, is capable of being connected to a pump and therefore is capable to be configured to be coupled to a pump. With respect to the limitations of claim 6 requiring the outer and inner cannulae to be extending outside an incision, these limitations are seen to be an intended use recitation for the device and have not been given patentable weight.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia M Bianco whose telephone number is (703) 305-1482. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:00-6:30, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/470,697

Art Unit: 3762

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Busines's Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 18<sup>th</sup>, 2004

Patricia M Bianco Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

PRIMARY EXAMINER